

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,134	06/16/2005	Alfred Oftring	273245US0PCT	2282
22850 7590 03/10/2009 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			EXAMINER	
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			SACKEY, EBENEZER O	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1624	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/10/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
10/539,134	OFTRING ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
EBENEZER SACKEY	1624		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period fo	r Reply
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Isolate of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.36(a). In no event however, may a reply be timely filed OSK (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is apply and will expire SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication are to reply within the act or startending period for reply will by statute, cause the application to become ABMONDED (50 LSC, § 133). The polymorphism of the property of the
Status	
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 October 2008.
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposit	on of Claims
4)⊠	Claim(s) 20-26.28 and 34-41 is/are pending in the application.
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.
6)🖂	Claim(s) 20-26, 28 and 34-41 is/are rejected.
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applicati	on Papers
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
Attachmen	t(s)

	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2)	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S6/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

4) 🔲	Interview Summary (PTO-413	
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
ev 🗖	Makes of help would black on face I	

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: ______.

Application/Control Number: 10/539,134

Art Unit: 1624

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the Claims

Claims 20-26, 28 and 34-41 are pending.

New claims 39-41 have been added to the original claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

The rejection of claims 20-38 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph has been withdrawn in view of the amendment to the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.
 Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/539,134

Art Unit: 1624

The factual inquiries set forth in Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148
 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- Claims 20-26, 28 and 34-41 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fischer et al., (U.S. Patent number 6,022,988) in view of Woodbury et al., (U.S.Patent number 5,235,089) for the reasons set forth in the previous office action mailed on 06/12/08.

Response to Remarks

Applicant's arguments filed 10/13/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argue that the Fischer catalyst enables short residence times with good yield and selectivity's, thus; there is no motivation to replace the organic 1,3-dimethylimidazolium-4-carboxylate base of Fischer with the inorganic lithium hydroxide of Woodbury. Applicants argument is misguided because among the bases applicable to the current process is the inorganic base of Woodbury. Thus, the base is not limited to the one taught by Fischer. With respect to the argument about the use of filtered porosity paper, various means of filtering products are available to the skilled artisan. Note applicants cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are made or based on combinations of references. Note In re

Application/Control Number: 10/539,134

Art Unit: 1624

Keller, 642 F 2d. 413, 208 U.S.P.Q. 871 CCPA (1981) and also *In re Merck & Co*, 800 F 2d. 1091, 231 U.S.P.Q. 375 (Fed. Cir 1986).

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to E. Sackey whose telephone number is (571) 272-0704. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson, can be reached on (571) 272-0661. The fax phone number for this Group is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Application/Control Number: 10/539,134 Page 5

Art Unit: 1624

EOS /JAMES O. WILSON/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1624